

## Sensitivity of fuzzy f-Xor implications

Rosana M. Zanottelli    Renata H. S. Reiser    Simone C. Cavaleiro    Luciana Foss

PPGC, CDTEC, UFPel - Pelotas, RS

E-mail: {rmzanottelli,reiser,simone.costa,lfoss}@inf.ufpel.edu.br

### ABSTRACT

Since the degrees of certainty of fuzzy connectives are only approximately defined, it is reasonable to study the robustness of corresponding operator based on the sensitive to small changes in the inputs of such logical operation [3, 6, 7]. As the main contribution, the study of the pointwise sensitive of  $f$ -X(N)or class [2] is introduced, restricted here to the fuzzy connective  $E_{T_P,S_P,N_S}$  and corresponding fuzzy  $f$ -Xor implication  $E_{T_P,S_P,N_S}$ . The endpoints of  $U = [0, 1]$  are considered faced on the antitonicity of  $f$ -X(N)or class.

A function  $E(D) : U^2 \rightarrow U$  is a *fuzzy exclusive or (Xor) (fuzzy exclusive nor (XNor))* if it satisfies the following properties, for all  $x, y \in U$ :

**E0:**  $E(1, 1) = E(0, 0) = 0$  and  $E(1, 0) = 1$ ;    **D0:**  $D(1, 1) = D(0, 0) = 1$  and  $D(0, 1) = 0$ ;

**E1:**  $E(x, y) = E(y, x)$ ;    **D1:**  $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ ;

**E2(i):** If  $x \leq y$  then  $E(0, x) \leq E(0, y)$ ;    **D2(i):** If  $x \leq y$  then  $D(0, x) \geq D(0, y)$ ;

**E2(ii):** If  $x \leq y$  then  $E(1, x) \geq E(1, y)$ .    **D2(ii):** If  $x \leq y$  then  $D(1, x) \leq D(1, y)$ .

Let  $T, S$  and  $N$  be a t-norm, a t-conorm and a fuzzy negation, respectively. By [1, Prop. 3.4], the function  $E_{T,S,N}(D_{S,T,N}) : U^2 \rightarrow U$  defined as

$$E_{T,S,N}(x, y) = T(S(x, y), N(T(x, y))); \quad (D_{S,T,N}(x, y) = S(T(x, y), N(S(x, y))))). \quad (1)$$

is a fuzzy  $f$ -Xor connective. Moreover, by a function  $I_{E_{T,S,N},T,N}(J_{D_{S,T,N},S,N}) : U^2 \rightarrow U$ , called a fuzzy  $f$ -Xor-(co)implication, is given by

$$I_{E_{T,S,N},T,N}(x, y) = E_{T,S,N}(x, N(T(x, y))); \quad J_{D_{S,T,N},S,N}(x, y) = D_{S,T,N}(x, N(S(x, y))). \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 1.** Consider  $N_S : U \rightarrow U$ ,  $N_S(x) = 1 - x$ ,  $S_P, T_P : U^2 \rightarrow U$ ,  $S(x, y) = x + y - xy$  and  $T(x, y) = xy$ . The fuzzy  $f$ -Xor  $E_{T_P,S_P,N_S}$  and related  $f$ -Xor implication  $I_{E_{T_P,S_P,N_S},T_P,N_S}$  are given as

$$E_{T_P,S_P,N_S}(x, y) = x + y - xy - x^2y - xy^2 + x^2y^2 \text{ and } I_{E_{T_P,S_P,N_S},T_P,N_S}(x, y) = (1 - xy + x^2y)(1 - x + x^2y). \quad (3)$$

By [6, 8], the main results of a  $\delta$  sensitivity of  $f$  at point  $\mathbf{x}$  (or a pointwise sensitivity) on  $U$ , referred as  $\Delta_f(\mathbf{x}, \delta)$  and related to the fuzzy  $f$ -Xor connective is discussed. For that, based on [6, Theorem 1], consider  $f : U^2 \rightarrow U$ ,  $\delta \in U$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in U^2$ .

(i) If  $f$  is an monotone function, which means,  $x \leq x', y \leq y' \Rightarrow f(x, y) \leq f(x', y')$  then

$$\Delta_f(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = [f(\mathbf{x}) - f((x - \delta) \vee 0, (y - \delta) \vee 0)] \vee [f((x + \delta) \wedge 1, (y + \delta) \wedge 1) - f(\mathbf{x})] \quad (4)$$

(ii) If  $f : U \rightarrow U$  be a reverse order function, such that  $x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \geq f(y)$ , then

$$\Delta_f(x, \delta) = [f(x) - f(x + \delta) \wedge 1] \vee [f((x - \delta) \vee 0) - f(x)]. \quad (5)$$

(iii) If  $f$  verifies both properties, 1-place antitonicity and 2-place isotonicity, then it holds that

$$\Delta_f(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = [f(\mathbf{x}) - f((x + \delta) \wedge 1, (y - \delta) \vee 0)] \vee [f((x - \delta) \vee 0, (y + \delta) \wedge 1) - f(\mathbf{x})] \quad (6)$$

Based on the monotonicity of  $S_P$  and  $T_P$ , it holds that:

$$\Delta_{S_P}((0, 0), \delta) = 2\delta - \delta^2 = \Delta_{T_P}((1, 1), \delta); \Delta_{S_P}((1, 1), \delta) = \delta^2 = \Delta_{T_P}((0, 0), \delta);$$

$$\Delta_{S_P}((0, 1), \delta) = \delta = \Delta_{T_P}((1, 0), \delta); \quad \Delta_{S_P}((1, 0), \delta) = \delta = \Delta_{T_P}((0, 1), \delta).$$

The  $\delta$  sensitivity of  $E_{S_P, T_P, N_S}$  at point  $\mathbf{x}$  is presented in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** Consider  $\Delta_{E_{S_P, T_P, N_S}} : U^2 \rightarrow U$  and  $\delta \in U$ . Then it holds that:

$$\text{If } \mathbf{x} = (0, 0) \text{ then } \Delta_{E_{S_P, T_P, N_S}}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = \Delta_{S_P}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) - \Delta_{T_P}(\mathbf{x}, \delta);$$

$$\text{If } \mathbf{x} = (1, 1) \text{ then } \Delta_{E_{S_P, T_P, N_S}}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = \Delta_{S_P}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) + \Delta_{T_P}(\mathbf{x}, \delta).$$

**Proposition 3.** Consider  $I_{E_{T_P, S_P, N_S}, T_P, N_S} : U^2 \rightarrow U$  and  $\delta \in U$ . Then it holds that:

$$\text{If } \mathbf{x} = (0, 0) \text{ then } \Delta_{I_{E_{T_P, S_P, N_S}, T_P, N_S}}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = \delta \vee 0 = \delta;$$

$$\text{If } \mathbf{x} = (1, 1) \text{ then } \Delta_{I_{E_{T_P, S_P, N_S}, T_P, N_S}}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = \delta \vee ((1 - \delta + \delta^2)^2 - 1) = \delta;$$

$$\text{If } \mathbf{x} = (0, 1) \text{ then } \Delta_{I_{E_{T_P, S_P, N_S}, T_P, N_S}}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = \delta \vee 0 = \delta;$$

$$\text{If } \mathbf{x} = (1, 0) \text{ then } \Delta_{I_{E_{T_P, S_P, N_S}, T_P, N_S}}(\mathbf{x}, \delta) = \delta \vee 0 = \delta^2(1 + (1 - \delta)^2)^2.$$

The main contribution of this paper is concerned with the study of robustness on representable fuzzy X(N)or operators mainly used in fuzzy reasoning based on the product t-norm, the probabilistic sum and standard negation. Taking the class of Xor-implications  $E_{T_P, S_P, N_S}$ , the paper states the sensitivity of the  $I_{E_{T_P, S_P, N_S}, T_P, N_S}$  fuzzy connective at the endpoints of  $U$ . The work of estimating its sensitivity to small changes is related to reducing sensitivity in the corresponding fuzzy connectives.

Our current investigation clearly aims the extension of the robustness studies to other main classes of (co)implications: S-(co)implications, R-(co)implications [4] and QL-(co)implications.

**Keywords:** pointwise sensitivity, robustness, fuzzy Xor, fuzzy Xor-implication

## References

- [1] B. Bedregal, R. Reiser and G. Dimuro, “Xor-implications and E-implications: classes of fuzzy implications based on fuzzy Xor”, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* **247** (2009) 5–18.
- [2] B. Bedregal, R. Reiser and G. Dimuro, “Revising XOR-implications: Classes of Fuzzy (Co)implications based on Fuzzy XOR (XNOR) connectives”. *Intl. Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems* **14** (6) 2013 1–29.
- [3] K.Y. Cai. Robustness of fuzzy reasoning and  $\delta$ -equalities of fuzzy sets, *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, **9**(5) (2001) 738–750.
- [4] M. Baczyński, Residual implications revisited - Notes on the Smets-Magrez, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **145** (2004) 267–277.
- [5] M. Baczyński and B. Jayaram, “Fuzzy implications” (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008).
- [6] Y. Li, D. Li, W. Pedrycz and J. Wu, An approach to measure the robustness of fuzzy reasoning. *Int. Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **20** No. 4 (2005) 393–413.
- [7] Y. Li, Approximation and robustness of fuzzy finite automata. *Int. Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, **47** No. 2 (2008) 247–257.
- [8] R. Reiser and B. Bedregal, Robustness of N-dual fuzzy connectives. “Advances in Intelligent and Soft Computing, EUROFUSE 2011”, (P. Melo-Pinto, P. Couto, C. Serôdio, J. Fodor and B. Baets, eds.) pp. 79–90, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.